

**PUBLIC NOTICE FOR THE SELECTION PROCESS – Master’s and Doctoral  
Programs GRADUATE PROGRAM IN ECOLOGY AND NATURAL  
RESOURCES FIRST SEMESTER OF 2025 (2025/01)**

**1. Information about the Graduate Program**

- 1.1. **Program Name:** Graduate Program in Ecology and Natural Resources (PPGERN)
  - 1.2. **University:** Universidade Estadual do Norte Fluminense Darcy Ribeiro (UENF), Center for Biosciences and Biotechnology (CBB)
  - 1.3. **Levels:** Master’s and Doctoral
  - 1.4. **CAPES Rating:** 5 (five) – Program accredited by CAPES on 03/30/2004
  - 1.5. **Field of Study:** Ecology of Ecosystems and Organisms
  - 1.6. **Research Areas (3):** Biogeochemistry, Conservation Biology, Population and Ecosystem Ecology
- Website of PPGERN: <https://ppgern.uenf.br>

**2. Evaluation Committee**

- 2.1. The Evaluation Committee will be responsible for validating applications, evaluating the stages of the process, and judging appeals presented by candidates.
- 2.2. For the Master’s and Doctoral selections, the Evaluation Committee will be composed of the PPGERN Coordinator and three (03) additional PhDs.
- 2.3. Appeals against the composition of the Evaluation Committee must be submitted within 2 (two) business days after the committee’s announcement. These appeals will be evaluated by the Coordinating Committee of PPGERN before any selection stage begins.

**3. Location of the Exams**

- 3.1. The stages of the selection process will take place in person at the main campus of the Universidade Estadual do Norte Fluminense Darcy Ribeiro (UENF) in Campos dos Goytacazes (RJ).
- 3.2. Candidates residing outside Campos dos Goytacazes, including those in foreign countries, may request to take the written exam (English and Ecology Knowledge Exam) in other locations and participate remotely in the interview and project defense.
  - 3.2.1. PPGERN does not guarantee that the written exam will be held at the location suggested by the candidate but may suggest an alternative location (city or state) closer to the one requested by the candidate in their research line and exam location option form. In all cases, the registration is valid for the process to be conducted at UENF.
  - 3.2.2. In this case, the candidate is fully responsible for internet resources for the interview and project defense.
- 3.3. Exam times in Brazil will follow Brasília time, regardless of the location where the exam is taken. Exams taken abroad will follow local time.

**4. Applications**

- 4.1. Before applying, candidates must contact a potential supervisor to formulate a work plan and obtain a signed acceptance letter, which are required at the time of application. Information about the supervisors, their emails, and research topics are available on the Program’s website (<https://ppgern.uenf.br>).
- 4.2. Candidates residing in Brazil or abroad may apply.
  - 4.2.1. Foreign candidates who are approved for the Master’s or Doctoral programs at PPGERN must present a copy of their diploma recognized by the Brazilian consulate in their country of origin or by the Hague Apostille, as well as other documents required by UENF’s Academic Office at the time of enrollment.

4.3. Application period: according to the schedule (item 14)

#### **4.4. Application Procedures and Forms**

4.4.1. Applications will only be accepted electronically through the Online Application Form for the Selection Process. No other application format will be accepted. Candidates must have a Google – GMAIL account (personal or institutional) to complete and submit the online application.

4.4.2. Candidates are advised to prepare all the required documents in PDF format before starting the electronic application form.

4.4.3. All fields in the application form must be filled out, and documents and forms must be attached in PDF format.

4.4.4. The necessary forms for the application can be downloaded and completed.

#### **4.5. Required Documents for Application**

a. Copy of the diploma or certificate of completion of a full undergraduate course (students who are close to completing their degree may apply, provided they submit a statement of expected graduation from their institution, and if approved, must present a certificate or declaration of completion at the time of enrollment);

b. Proof that the institution where the candidate completed their undergraduate studies is recognized by the Brazilian Ministry of Education (MEC) (available at the provided link). This requirement does not apply to foreign candidates, who, if approved, must follow the guidelines in item 4.2.1;

c. Copy of the Master's degree or equivalent document for Doctoral candidates (Doctoral candidates who do not yet have a Master's degree may apply, provided they submit a statement with the expected defense date at the time of application, and if approved, present the Master's degree or certificate at the time of enrollment);

d. Undergraduate transcript;

e. Master's transcript for Doctoral candidates;

f. Three reference letters (Reference Letter Template) signed by individuals related to the candidate's academic or professional activities. The letters must be sent by the author to the PPGERN Coordination via email to [pgecol@uenf.br](mailto:pgecol@uenf.br) with the subject: "Reference Letter for CANDIDATE'S NAME – Selection 2025.01".

g. Digitized 3x4 photo;

h. Civil ID, CPF, Civil Registry, Voter Registration, and Military Document (scanned or digital versions). Foreign candidates only need to provide identification documents.

i. Proof of updated Lattes/CNPq Curriculum within the last 6 months (first page of the Lattes Curriculum showing the last update date). Foreign candidates may submit the Foreign Researcher Curriculum Vitae instead of the Lattes/CNPq, which can be obtained at the provided link.

j. Supporting documents for the Curriculum Vitae must be uploaded in the appropriate electronic form, organized as per item 8.1 (Master's) or 8.2 (Doctorate).

k. For Doctoral candidates: Thesis Proposal containing: abstract, introduction, objectives, hypothesis, methodology summary, bibliographic references, execution schedule, and budget. The text should be 20,000 to 25,000 characters (with spaces), excluding references, schedule, and budget. The thesis proposal should include an ethical procedures section or procedures for the treatment and disposal of waste, when relevant to the proposed study.

l. For Master's candidates: Dissertation Proposal containing: abstract, introduction, objectives, hypothesis, methodology summary, bibliographic references, execution

schedule, and budget. The text should be 5,000 to 7,000 characters (with spaces), excluding references, schedule, and budget. The work plan should include an ethical procedures section or procedures for the treatment and disposal of waste, when relevant to the proposed study.

m. Completed Research Area Option Form, indicating the potential supervisor's area

n. For Doctoral candidates: proof of the research field and CAPES rating of the program where the Master's degree was obtained, accessible via the provided link.

o. Email or signed acceptance letter from the supervisor (in PDF) to be attached to the application form. Candidates must contact potential supervisors before applying. Supervisor names, email addresses, and research area are available on the PPGERN website.

p. Academic Office Form 1 from UENF.

4.6. By submitting the required documents, the candidate is responsible for the accuracy of all provided information.

## **5. Approval of Applications:**

5.1. Applications will be approved by the Evaluation Committee according to the schedule outlined in this notice.

5.1.1. Only applications accompanied by all the documents required for the chosen program, as specified in item 4.5, will be fully approved.

5.2. The list of approved and non-approved applications will be published on the PPGERN website.

5.2.1. The list of approved applications will contain the names of the candidates in alphabetical order, along with their registration number and whether the approval was full or conditional.

5.2.2. The list of non-approved applications will include the names of the candidates in alphabetical order, along with their registration number and the reason for non-approval.

5.3. Candidates whose applications are not approved may file an appeal with the Evaluation Committee within 1 (one) business day from the publication of the lists.

5.3.1. No additional documents listed in item 4.5 will be accepted through an appeal.

5.4. The Evaluation Committee will have up to 1 (one) business day to review the submitted appeals.

5.5. After the period outlined in the previous item, the results of the appeals, along with their reasons, will be published on the PPGERN website.

5.6. Candidates whose applications have been approved will be eligible to participate in Stage 1 of the selection process. Candidates are responsible for accessing this information on the PPGERN website.

---

**6. Reserved Openings** : Additional information is available in the Portuguese version of this notice and on the website

<https://uenf.br/posgraduacao/avaliacao-socioeconomica-de-editais-da-pos-graduacao/>

## **7. Stages of the Selection Process for Master's and Doctorate**

7.1. The selection process for both the Master's and Doctorate programs consists of two stages: a written test in English and Ecology Knowledge (Stage 1); and an interview, evaluation of the Dissertation proposal (for Master's candidates) or Thesis proposal (for Doctoral candidates), and an analysis of the curriculum vitae (Stage 2).

7.2. The candidate's absence from the English and Ecology Knowledge Test and/or the interview will result in automatic elimination from the Selection Process.

7.3. The written exams must be completed using a blue ballpoint pen.

7.4. Stage 1 for the Master's and Doctorate programs will consist of a written English test and a test of Ecology Knowledge, with a maximum duration of 4 (four) hours.

7.4.1. This stage will be held on the date indicated in the schedule.

7.4.2. The English test is eliminatory and consists of translating and/or interpreting a scientific text in English, provided at the time of the test.

7.4.3. For the English test, candidates may use an English-English or English-Portuguese printed dictionary. No electronic devices are allowed to be used as dictionaries.

7.4.4. The English test is mandatory for all candidates (Master's and Doctorate), except for native English speakers. Candidates from English-speaking countries are exempt from taking the English test. Candidates who present English proficiency with the score required in item 2.I of CAPES Notice No. 44 of 2022 will also be exempt from the language test.

7.4.5. The Ecology Knowledge test is eliminatory and consists of theoretical, analytical, and/or interpretive questions based on ecology textbooks listed in item 7.4.8.

7.4.6. Doctoral candidates who obtained their Master's degree from a CAPES-accredited graduate program in the Biodiversity area with a grade of 5 or higher are exempt from taking the Ecology Knowledge test. Other Doctoral candidates who do not meet this requirement must take the Ecology Knowledge test.

7.4.7. During the Ecology Knowledge test, consulting any printed or digital material is prohibited.

7.4.8. The following books are recommended for the Ecology Knowledge test: Begon, M.; C.R. Townsend; J.L. Harper. "Ecologia: de indivíduos a ecossistemas," Artmed.; Odum, E.P. "Ecologia," Interamericana; Ricklefs, R.E. "A Economia da Natureza," Guanabara Koogan; Townsend, C.R.; Begon, M. & J.L. Harper. "Fundamentos em Ecologia," Artmed.

7.4.9. At the end of Stage 1, scores between 0 (zero) and 10 (ten) will be assigned for the Ecology Knowledge test. Candidates who obtain a minimum score of 5.0 (five) in this stage for both programs (Master's and Doctorate), or those fully exempt (only possible for Doctoral candidates) from this stage, will be considered eligible to proceed to Stage 2.

7.4.10. The English and Ecology Knowledge tests will be held in room 107 of CBB/UENF.

7.4.11. Candidates from other countries or municipalities who choose to take the selection process at another institution may take the Stage 1 tests in person at locations specified by the Commission after the registration approval.

7.4.12. This stage of the selection process will be conducted blindly (the evaluator will only have access to the candidate's registration number or CPF).

7.5. Stage 2 for the Master's and Ph.D. programs consists of an interview, evaluation of reference letters and the Dissertation Proposal (for Master's candidates) or Thesis Proposal (for Doctoral candidates), and analysis of the curriculum vitae.

7.5.1. Stage 2 will take place during the period indicated in item 14 (Schedule).

7.5.2. Stage 2 is eliminatory for both Master's and Doctoral candidates.

7.5.3. For Master's candidates, the Dissertation proposal evaluation will consist of an analysis of the written document and an interview. There will be no oral presentation of the proposal. In the interview, the candidate may be asked about their academic background and the Work Plan to be developed during the Master's program.

7.5.4. For Doctoral candidates, the Thesis proposal evaluation will consist of an analysis of the written document, an interview, and an evaluation of the oral presentation of the proposal. In the interview, the candidate will be asked about their academic background and the Dissertation Project to be developed during the Doctorate.

7.5.5. The curriculum vitae evaluation will follow the guidelines outlined in item 8.

7.5.6. At the end of Stage 2, scores will be assigned for the items Curriculum Vitae, interview, and Dissertation proposal (for the Master's) or Thesis proposal (for the Doctorate). The minimum average score for passing this stage will be 5.0 (five) for Master's candidates and 6.0 (six) for Doctoral candidates.

7.5.7. Stage 2 will be conducted via video conference using digital platforms such as Google Meet or Gather. PPGERN is not responsible for technical internet issues on the candidate's part, and the interview will be canceled if not conducted on the stipulated date and time.

## 8. Curriculum Vitae Evaluation

8.1. The Curriculum Vitae of candidates for the master's program must be organized according to the model presented in Tables 1a and 1b below. The tables also show the maximum score for each item to be evaluated for master's candidates. This score may be adjusted according to the evaluation by the committee, up to the indicated maximum values.

### 8.1.1. Education:

**Table 1a.** Items evaluated in the Curriculum Vitae of master's candidates and respective scores (Maximum score = 4.0 (four) points).

Evaluated Items	Score
1. Undergraduate GPA	Score 7.0-7.9 - 0.5 points
	Score 8.0-8.9 - 1.0 points
	Score 9.0-10.0 - 2.0 points
1.2 Short course in the field (min. 4 hours) (1)	0.2 points per short course
1.3 Internship in the field, including Young Talents Program (2)	0.3 points per internship or per semester
1.4 Specialization in the field (lato sensu)	1.0 points per specialization
1.5 Graduation thesis	0.6 points
1.6 Scientific or Technological Research Scholarship	0.5 points per semester in the first year + 0.2 points for each additional semester
1.7 Teaching assistantship or monitoring scholarship	0.1 points per semester
1.8 Extension scholarship	0.5 points in the first year + 0.1 points for each additional semester
1.9 Technical support scholarship	0.1 points per semester
(1): Maximum of 1.0 points. (2): Maximum of 1.2 points.	

### 8.1.2. Technical-Scientific Production:

**Table 1b.** Items evaluated in the Curriculum Vitae of master's candidates and respective scores (Maximum score = 5.0 (five) points).

Evaluated Items	Score
2.1 Publication of abstract in a REGIONAL scientific event - SIMPLE or EXPANDED (1)	0.2 points per abstract
2.2 Publication of abstract in a NATIONAL scientific event - SIMPLE (1)	0.3 points per abstract
2.3 Publication of abstract in an INTERNATIONAL scientific event - SIMPLE (1)	0.4 points per abstract
2.4 Publication of abstract in a NATIONAL scientific event - EXPANDED (1)	0.5 points per abstract
2.5 Publication of abstract in an INTERNATIONAL scientific event - EXPANDED (1)	0.6 points per abstract
2.6 Oral presentation at a scientific event	0.3 points per presentation
2.7 Article/Book/Book Chapter submitted – MAIN AUTHOR	0.6 points per publication
2.8 Article/Book/Book Chapter submitted - CO-AUTHOR	0.3 points per publication
2.9 Article/Book/Book Chapter published or in press - MAIN AUTHOR	1.2 points per publication
2.10 Article/Book/Book Chapter published or in press - CO-AUTHOR	0.6 points per publication
2.11 Lecture delivered in the field	0.2 points per lecture
2.12 Course taught in the field (min. 4 hours)	0.4 points per course
2.13 Award in the field	0.3 points per award
2.14 Member of the organizing committee of an academic-scientific event in the field	0.2 points per event
(1): Maximum of 2.0 points in total for subitems 2.1 to 2.5.	

### 8.1.3. Professional Experience:

**Table 1c.** Items evaluated in the Curriculum Vitae of master's candidates and respective scores (Maximum score = 1.0 (one) point).

Evaluated Items	Score
3.1 Lecture taught - extracurricular	0.02 points per hour of lecture
3.2 Consulting and/or service provision in the field	0.2 points per consultation/service or per semester of service
3.3 Participation as a researcher in a project in the field	0.3 points per project
3.4 Participation in examination boards	0.2 points per participation
3.5 Participation in extension projects or activities in the field	0.1 points per event/course
3.6 Other professional activities in the field	0.1 points per activity

**8.2.** The Curriculum Vitae of candidates for the doctorate must be organized according to the model presented in Tables 2a and 2b below. The tables also show the maximum score

for each item to be evaluated for doctoral candidates. This score may be adjusted according to the evaluation by the committee, up to the indicated maximum values.

### 8.2.1. Education:

**Table 2a.** Items evaluated in the Curriculum Vitae of doctoral candidates and respective scores (Maximum score = 2.0 (two) points).

Evaluated Items	Score
1.1 Master's academic record (grades/concepts)	Up to 1.0 point
1.2 Scientific or technological initiation with a scholarship (1)	0.1 points per semester
1.3 Undergraduate thesis	0.3 points
1.4 Internship in the field (2)	0.1 points per internship
1.5 Lato sensu specialization course in the field (3)	0.5 points per specialization
1.6 Complementary course in the field (3)	0.2 points per course
1.7 Teaching activity as a student (4)	0.1 points per 10 hours of lecture
<b>(1):</b> Maximum of 0.3 points. <b>(2):</b> Maximum of 0.2 points. <b>(3):</b> Maximum of 1.0 point for the two activities combined. <b>(4):</b> Maximum of 0.5 points.	

### 8.2.2. Technical-Scientific Production:

**Table 2b.** Items evaluated in the Curriculum Vitae of doctoral candidates and respective scores (Maximum score = 6.0 (six) points).

Items Evaluated	Score
2.1 Oral presentation at a scientific event – MAIN AUTHOR	0.15 points per abstract
2.2 Poster presentation at a scientific event – MAIN AUTHOR	0.1 points per abstract
2.3 Presentation at a scientific event – CO-AUTHOR	0.1 points per abstract
2.4 Article published or in press in Qualis A2+ – MAIN AUTHOR (1)	1.5 points per article
2.5 Article published or in press in Qualis A2+ – CO-AUTHOR (1)	1.0 points per article
2.6 Article published or in press in Qualis A4+ – MAIN AUTHOR (1)	1.0 points per article
2.7 Article published or in press in Qualis A4+ – CO-AUTHOR (1)	0.8 points per article
2.8 Article published or in press in Qualis B1 – MAIN AUTHOR (1)	0.6 points per article
2.9 Article published or in press in Qualis B1 – CO-AUTHOR (1)	0.3 points per article
2.10 Submitted article	0.1 points per article

<b>Items Evaluated</b>	<b>Score</b>
2.11 International book published or in press – MAIN AUTHOR (indexed or with ISBN)	1.5 points per publication
2.12 International book published or in press – CO-AUTHOR (indexed or with ISBN)	0.8 points per publication
2.13 International book chapter published or in press – MAIN AUTHOR (indexed or with ISBN)	1.0 points per publication
2.14 International book chapter published or in press – CO-AUTHOR (indexed or with ISBN)	0.5 points per publication
2.15 National book published or in press – MAIN AUTHOR (indexed or with ISBN)	0.8 points per publication
2.16 National book published or in press – CO-AUTHOR (indexed or with ISBN)	0.5 points per publication
2.17 National book chapter published or in press – MAIN AUTHOR (indexed or with ISBN)	0.6 points per publication
2.18 National book chapter published or in press – CO-AUTHOR (indexed or with ISBN)	0.3 points per publication
2.19 Other publications	0.1 points per publication
2.20 Lecture given in the field	0.1 points per lecture
2.21 Course taught in the field (minimum: 4 hours of instruction)	0.3 points per course
2.22 Award received in the field	Up to 0.3 points per award
2.23 Organizing committee of an academic-scientific event in the field	0.2 points per event

(1) A2+ = Qualis A1 and A2 journals; A4+ = Qualis A3 and A4 journals; according to the current classification for the Biodiversity area, available at the provided link.

### **Table 2c. Criteria Evaluated in the Curriculum Vitae of Doctoral Candidates and Respective Scores**

(Maximum score = 2.0 (two) points)

<b>Items Evaluated</b>	<b>Score</b>
3.1 Lecture given in undergraduate course (1)	0.1 points per 20 hours of instruction
3.2 Completed or ongoing supervision or co-supervision	0.5 points per supervision
3.3 Consulting, service provision, or internship in the field (2)	0.3 points per consultancy/service/internship
3.4 Participation in a research project in the field	0.4 points per project
3.5 Participation in an extension project or activity in the field	0.2 points per project
3.6 Participation in an examining committee	0.2 points per participation
3.7 Other professional activities in the field	0.1 points per activity

(1): Maximum of 0.5 points

(2): Maximum of 1.2 points



## **9. Final Scores and Final Ranking**

9.1. The final score for the master's candidate will be obtained by averaging the two stages [(Stage 1 + Stage 2)/2], as described in sections 7.4 and 7.5.

9.1.1. The master's candidate will be considered approved if they achieve an average score of 6.0 (six) or higher.

9.1.2. The final ranking will be determined by the descending order of the average scores obtained, as outlined in section 9.1.1.

9.2. The final score for the doctoral candidate will be obtained by averaging the evaluations from Stage 2, as described in section 7.5.

9.2.1. The doctoral candidate will be considered approved if they achieve an average score of 6.0 (six) or higher in Stage 2.

9.2.2. The final ranking will be determined by the descending order of the score obtained, as outlined in section 9.2.1.

## **10. Results Announcement and Filling of Vacancies**

10.1. After completing all stages, the Evaluation Committee will have until the date indicated in the schedule to announce the final result.

10.2. The announcement of the Stage 1 results and the final result will consist of publishing the list of approved candidates on the PPGERN website. Scores will not be published.

10.3. Approved candidates will be selected in descending order of classification until all the available openings are filled, in accordance to the research theme.

10.4. In the event of withdrawals by selected candidates, other approved candidates may be called to fill the remaining vacancies, respecting the order of classification and the number of places per research theme.

10.5. In the case of a tie in the final scores, the following tiebreaker criteria will be adopted successively:

10.5.1. Master's Candidates:

1. Age, in accordance with § 2 of Article 27 of Law 10.741/2003;
2. Stage 2 score (Interview, Work Plan, and Curriculum Vitae evaluation);
3. Stage 1 score (English Test and Knowledge Test in Ecology).

10.5.2 Doctoral Candidates:

1. Age, in accordance with § 2 of Article 27 of Law 10.741/2003;
2. Stage 2 score (Interview, Work Plan, and Curriculum Vitae evaluation).

10.6. The final result will be announced by the Evaluation Committee after the last appeal for the most recently published stage has been reviewed.

10.7. The final result will consist of a list published on the PPGERN website containing the names of the approved candidates in order of classification.

10.8. Places will be filled according to the candidates' ranking, after applying the tiebreaker criteria, until all available openings for each research theme are filled, and a waiting list may be created.

10.9. In case of availability of scholarships (for master's and doctoral candidates) for incoming students who meet the criteria described in the PPGERN regulations, the scholarships will be awarded based on the candidates' overall ranking.

## **11. Number of Available Openings**

<b>Research Theme</b>	<b>Master's Slots</b>	<b>Doctorate Slots</b>
Ecological Plant Anatomy and Ultrastructure	2	2
Biogeochemistry of Aquatic Ecosystems	2	0
Plant Ecophysiology	2	1
Marine Community Ecology: Artificial Reefs and Benthic Biodiversity	2	2
Insect Ecology and Pollination	2	2
Ecology and Conservation of Marine Mammals, Birds, and Turtles	1	1
Functional Ecology and Marine Macroecological Patterns	2	1
Fish Ecology and Microplastics	1	0
Ethology and Conservation of Terrestrial Vertebrates	1	0
Mammal Evolution and Ecology	1	1
Ecological Palynology	1	0
Systematics and Biology of Insects	2	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>10</b>

*Of the 19 (nineteen) master's openings , 6 (six) may be filled by quota students, and of the 10 (ten) doctoral openings , 3 (three) may be filled by quota students.*

## **12. Appeals:**

12.1. Appeals will be accepted if submitted within 1 (one) business day from the announcement of the preliminary results, using the "Appeal Request PPGERN" form available on the PPGERN selection process website. Appeals should be addressed to the PPGERN Coordinating Committee via email ([pgecol@uenf.br](mailto:pgecol@uenf.br)) with the subject: "Appeal Request from Candidate - CANDIDATE'S NAME."

12.1.1. The Evaluation Committee must judge all appeals for each stage within 1 (one) business day after the appeal submission deadline.

12.1.2. Appeals against the final result must be submitted via letter addressed to the PPGERN Coordinating Committee at the following email address: [pgecol@uenf.br](mailto:pgecol@uenf.br).

12.2. After all appeals have been judged, the final definitive result will be published.

12.2.1. The announcement of the final definitive result will consist of a list published on the PPGERN website containing the names of the approved candidates in the order of classification.

### **13. Call for Enrollment:**

13.1. After the selection process ends and the final result is announced, selected candidates will be called for enrollment within the deadline provided by the Coordinating Committee via email or on the PPGERN website.

13.2. Selected candidates who had not yet completed their undergraduate degree (for master's candidates) or master's degree (for doctoral candidates) at the time of application must provide proof of completion upon enrollment.

### **14. Schedule**

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Date</b>
Application period	07/10 to 13/11/2024
Announcement of approved applications	By 25/11/2024
Appeal request for application approval	Within 24 hours after the announcement
Stage 1 of the selection (written tests)	02/12/2024
Announcement of Stage 1 results	By 05/12/2024
Appeal request	Within 24 hours after the announcement
Stage 2 of the selection	09 to 12/12/2024
Announcement of preliminary results	By 16/12/2024
Appeal request	Within 24 hours after the announcement
Announcement of the final result	By 20/12/2024

### **15. Final Provisions:**

15.1. The candidate will be disqualified and automatically excluded from the selection process if they:

- a. Do not attend any stage of the selection process on the dates and times specified.
- b. Provide false statements or submit falsified documents at any stage of the selection.
- c. Fail to submit all required documentation within the deadlines and conditions stipulated in this announcement.
- d. Fail to enroll on the specified date if selected.

15.2. Candidates have the right to access, upon request, the exam reports to appeal the stage results during the appeal periods outlined in this announcement.

15.3. Omitted cases will be resolved by the Evaluation Committee, the PPGERN Coordinating Committee, and the Research and Postgraduate Chamber, according to their competencies.

15.4. By registering for the selection process, candidates acknowledge and accept the rules established in this announcement, as well as the internal regulations of PPGERN and UENF that govern the subject, which can be consulted in the regulations section of the PPGERN website.

Approved by the Coordinating Committee on October 1, 2024.  
Graduate Program in Ecology and Natural Resources  
Universidade Estadual do Norte Fluminense Darcy Ribeiro (UENF)